

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/090,706	03/04/2002	Jian Oin	13740.3	5401		
75	590 04/08/2004		EXAMINER			
Patricia A. Charlier, Esquire Senior Patent Counsel Kimberly -Clark Worldwide, Inc. 401 North Lake Street			TENTON	TENTONI, LEO B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1732			
Neenah, WI 5	54957-0349		DATE MAILED: 04/08/200	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/090,706		ÒIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Leo B. Tent	oni	1732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress		
Period for Reply	DLV IO OFT TO	EVDIDE 2 MONTH	'e) EDOM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no even reply within the statute riod will apply and will	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered tim the mailing date of this (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
Status						
1) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	4 March 2002.					
	This action is no					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 20-39 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 20-39 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from con					
Application Papers 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 March 2002</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) accept the drawing(s) be rrection is require	e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have beer nents have beer priority docume ureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applica nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this Nation	al Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04292002.		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/090,706 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character $``d_1"$ has been used to designate both the distance between die face 34 and plane 35 and the distance between die face 34 and plane 36 (note page 31, lines 3-15 of the instant specification). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: All of the reference signs in Figure 5 are not mentioned in the description. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in line 2, `are disclosed'' is a phrase which can be implied and should not be used in the abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Art Unit: 1732

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: PROCESS OF MAKING A NONWOVEN WEB.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered

Art Unit: 1732

therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allen et al (U.S. Patent 5,280,079) in combination with Rhim (U.S. Patent 5,342,335).

Allen et al (see the entire document, in particular, col. 1, lines 10-30; col. 2, lines 39-61; col. 4, lines 59-61; col. 5, line 5 to col. 6, line 15; col. 6, lines 23-49; col. 12, lines 52-54) teach a process of making a nonwoven web as set forth in the instant claims, except that Allen et al do not specifically teach extruding a polymer solution through a die having a plurality of orifices having diameters in the range of from about 0.20 mm to about 1.2 mm and attenuating the extruded filaments with a primary gaseous source to permit the viscosity of the filaments to increase incrementally with increasing distance from the die, while substantially maintaining uniform viscosity in the radial direction, at a rate sufficient to provide fibers having the desired attenuation and mean fiber diameter without significant fiber breakage, which is taught by Rhim (see the entire document, in particular, col. 3, line 31 to col. 6, line 5; col. 8, lines 50-61; col. 9, line 67 to col. 10, line 36; col.

Art Unit: 1732

10, lines 57-68; col. 11, lines 1-16 and 36-61; col. 16, lines 37-48; col. 24, lines 1-10) and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in the process of Allen et al principally in order to produce fibers having desired characteristics and/or properties.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1732

Leo B. Tentoni

Page 6

Leo B. Tentoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

lbt